

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addiese: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/541,639	01/23/2006	Young-Kook Kim	HANO-005	8390
24353 BOZICEVIC.	7590 03/27/2008 FIELD & FRANCIS LL	EXAMINER		
1900 UNIVERSITY AVENUE			SCHLIENTZ, NATHAN W	
SUITE 200 EAST PALO	ALTO, CA 94303		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1616	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			03/27/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/541,639	KIM ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Nathan W. Schlientz	1616	

	Nathan W. Schlientz	1616					
- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address - Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DY Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CPR. 13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the maining date of this communication. 1 HO period for reply is specified above, the macrom statutory by the control of the communication of the	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 16(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin till apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	I. sely filed the mailing date of this of (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 06 Ju	ly 2005.						
2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) ☐ This	action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is							
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	3 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims							
4) Claim(s) 1-3 is/are pending in the application.							
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-3</u> is/are rejected.							
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.						
Application Papers							
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.						
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>06 July 2005</u> is/are: a)[☑ accepted or b)☐ objected to b	y the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form P	ГО-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)	-(d) or (f).					
1.☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.							
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage							
application from the International Bureau	•		- 0				
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list		d.					
Attachment(s)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da						
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (FTO/SE/OS)	5). Notice of Informal P						

- Paper No(s)/Mail Date 8/4/06.
- 6) Other: _____

Art Unit: 1616

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species: Formulas 1-11 as depicted in instant claim 2. The species are independent or distinct because claims to the different species recite the mutually exclusive characteristics of such species. In addition, these species are not obvious variants of each other based on the current record.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, claims 2 and 3 are generic.

There is an examination and search burden for these patentably distinct species due to their mutually exclusive characteristics. The species require a different field of search (e.g., searching different classes/subclasses or electronic resources, or employing different search queries); and/or the prior art applicable to one species would not likely be applicable to another species; and/or the species are likely to raise different non-prior art issues under 35 U.S.C. 101 and/or 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete <u>must</u> include (i) an election of a species to be examined even though the requirement may be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected species, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a

Art Unit: 1616

claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

The election of the species may be made with or without traverse. To preserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the election of species requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse. Traversal must be presented at the time of election in order to be considered timely. Failure to timely traverse the requirement will result in the loss of right to petition under 37 CFR 1.144. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which of these claims are readable on the elected species.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the species unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other species.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which depend from or otherwise require all the limitations of an allowable generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141.

Application/Control Number: 10/541,639 Page 4

Art Unit: 1616

Telephonic Election

During a telephone conversation with attorney Karl Bozicevic on 17 March 2008 a provisional election was made *with traverse* to search and examine the specie of formula 2, as represented in instant claim 2. Affirmation of this election must be made by applicant in replying to this Office action.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (a) the invention was known or used by others in this country, or patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country, before the invention thereof by the applicant for a patent.
- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- Claims 1-3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(a) as being anticipated by Korean Patent Publication 1020030085288 (Kim et al.).

Kim et al. disclose a composition comprising phenylpyropene A (depicted below) in a concentration of 2 % w/v (paragraph #74 of the English machine translation).

Art Unit: 1616

"phenylpyropene A"

Kim et al. disclose isolating phenylpyropene A from *Penicillium griseofulvum* F 1959 through extraction with ethyl acetate and column chromatography with a mixture of chloroform and methanol (paragraph #30 of the English machine translation).

It is noted that Kim et al. do not disclose that the composition comprising phenylpyropene A is used as an insecticidal. However, the instant specification defines the effective amount of active ingredients as an amount from 0.01 to 95 wt.% (pg. 17, II. 7-9). Kim et al. disclose 2% w/v of phenylpyropene A in their composition. Therefore, the recitation of the intended use "insecticidal" has not been given patentable weight to distinguish over Kim et al. because the intended use of the claimed invention must result in a structural difference between the claimed invention and the prior art in order to patentably distinguish the claimed invention from the prior art. If the prior art structure is capable of performing the intended use, then it meets the claim. See *In re Casey*, 152 USPQ 235 (CCPA 1967) and *In re Otto*, 136 USPQ 458, 459 (CCPA 1963). In the absence of evidence to the contrary, since Kim et al. disclose phenylpyropene A within a composition at a concentration that falls within the effective range as disclosed

Page 6

Application/Control Number: 10/541,639

Art Unit: 1616

in the instant specification, the composition would be capable of performing the intended use as instantly claimed.

 Claims 1-3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Kwon et al., The Journal of Antibiotics, November 2002, 55(11), 1004-1008 (Kwon et al.).

Kwon et al. disclose that phenylpyropene A (depicted above) is extracted from the fermentation broth of *Penicillium griseofulvum* F1959 with ethyl acetate followed by concentration then chromatographed on a silica gel column (pg. 1004, right column, II. 7-10). Kwon et al. further disclose a composition comprising 4 µl of rat liver microsomes, 20 µl of 0.5 M potassium phosphate buffer, 15 µl of bovine serum albumin, 2 µl of cholesterol in acetone, 41 µl of water, and 10 µl of the test sample (i.e. phenylpyropene A) in a total volume of 92 µl (pg. 1007, left column, II. 36-42).

It is noted that Kwon et al. do not disclose that the composition comprising phenylpyropene A is used as an insecticidal. However, the instant specification defines the effective amount of active ingredients as an amount from 0.01 to 95 wt.% (pg. 17, II. 7-9). Kwon et al. disclose a composition comprising 10 µl of the test sample (i.e. phenylpyropene A) in a total volume of 92 µl. Therefore, the recitation of the intended use "insecticidal" has not been given patentable weight to distinguish over Kwon et al. because the intended use of the claimed invention must result in a structural difference between the claimed invention and the prior art in order to patentably distinguish the claimed invention from the prior art. If the prior art structure is capable of performing the intended use, then it meets the claim. See *In re Casey*, 152 USPQ 235 (CCPA 1967)

Art Unit: 1616

instantly claimed.

and In re Otto, 136 USPQ 458, 459 (CCPA 1963). In the absence of evidence to the contrary, since Kwon et al. disclose phenylpyropene A within a composition at a concentration that falls within the effective range as disclosed in the instant specification, the composition would be capable of performing the intended use as

Contact Information

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Nathan W. Schlientz whose telephone number is 571-272-9924. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30 AM to 5:00 PM, Monday through Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Johann Richter can be reached on 571-272-0646. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

NWS

/Johann R. Richter/

Page 8

Art Unit: 1616

Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 1616